TWIN RIDGES ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT

16661 Old Mill Rd., Nevada City, California

Phone (530) 265-9052 ♦ Fax (530) 265-3049 ♦ www.twinridgeselementary.com

BOARD OF TRUSTEES SPECIAL MEETING MINUTES TUESDAY OCTOBER 29TH, 2024 4:00 PM GRIZZLY HILL SCHOOL-RM 4

	1.	CALL TO ORDER 4:01Pm			
	2.	ROLL CALL			
		Aubrey Puetz Jonathan Farrell Mindi Morton Lorien Whitestone Malik Goodman	President Clerk NCSOS Representative Member Member	Present Present Present Present Present	
	3.	ATTENDEES: Marisol Estra	da, Dr. Erik Crawford, Jordan Koehler	, Darlene Waddle	
Action	4.	APPROVAL OF THE OCTOR	BER 29TH , 2024 SPECIAL MEETING A	AGENDA —	
	Trustee Puetz asks the board if there are any requests to change the agenda. Discussion around potential timeline of the grant application and the awarding of the grant. The following changes to the agenda are requested. *Review of the grant acceptance/funding timeline for the State Facilities Bond funded Preschool/TK/K Grant. *Trustee Morton would like to add an item to whether or not the board will accept the grant funding for the Preschool/TK/K grant. Trustee Puetaz seeks motion for amended agenda Trustee Morton makes a motion , Trustee Goodman seconds the motion Vote Carries as follows: (5/0/0/0) Trustee Puetz-Yes Trustee Farrell-Yes Trustee Whitestone-Yes Trustee Morton-Yes Trustee Goodman-Yes				

5.	5. PUBLIC COMMENT The Board of Trustees welcomes comments and suggestions from the public. Whi action may be taken by the Board concerning items not on the agenda, comments important for District information and for possible future action. Due to time considerations, the chair may request that comments by an individual be limited to minutes. Suggestions and comments from the public regarding items listed on this agenda should be raised during the comment period for the specific agenda item. (Education Code 35145.5; Bylaw 9322, Government Code 54954.3)			
	No bod	No body for public comment		
6.	DISCU	SSION / ACTION		
Discussion/Action	Α.	Review of timeline for grant acceptance/funding timeline per the state facilities bond.		
		Dr. Crawford begins by reviewing a timeline from the start of the partnership with Hancock Park and Delong starting in Feb 2023. Once the timeline is reviewed. Dr. Crawford shares the new proposed amounts for moving forward with only a 2 classroom facility. Dr. Crawford clarifies that the money that the district is matching will come out of the Measure "A" proceeds. This would be about \$300,000. The change in cost is due to the plan change from 3 classrooms to 2 classrooms when a design is proposed. We have the ability to recalculate the match should we move to a 2 classroom design. Jordan Koehler for clarification- State match should come very close. We would be within our right to spend the measure "A" funds. Total cost of the build will be dependent on design choices, infrastructure needs, etc. The state will still cover the matching portion for our build, even if the process stops at the design phase. We will be responsible for any work that is completed. Farrell- Do we have a responsibility to have the oversight committee involved? A part of the money spent will be coming from the bond. Jordan Answers- The Board is the authorizing party, the oversight committee involved but there needs to be a delineation between the committee involved but there needs to be a delineation between the voters and the oversight. Jordan offers clarification on the role of the oversight committee. They are there to adjudicate the decisions made by the board. Morton- asks for clarification on the footprint of the building. Will we still be able to collect funding for preschool? Darlene Answers-Yes in time, must expand to a 5 day a week program. Dr. Crawford is confident we should be offering a preschool option for our community.		

Makes the point of the location of Oak Tree being closer to town. Would it impede enrollment to bring the preschool here? If there is a reason for the classrooms to change for different uses do we have some flexibility?

Farrell- If we spend this \$300k for our matching funds from the bond do we still have the ability to fulfill our facility needs that came from the prioritization list that came from the bond?

Dr. Crawford says this is why the design phase is put in place so we can see those roadblocks ahead of the build. Avoiding change orders is where extra costs can come in.

Is there a need right now for the additional square footage right now. Does it affect our attendance or us being able to house students currently? The answer is simply "no" however we want to provide for the future.

Jordan clarifies some of the architectural models that are available for this build.

- 1. Design, bid, build
- 2. Collaborative design to eliminate possible change orders. They present a guaranteed maximum price.

Jordan gives the board an explanation for these two models.

Trustee Morton – brings up that moving preschool here would be a cost savings to the district.

Trustee Farrell - brings up possible revenue that can be driven through the oaktree campus. Possible public office rental space, event space, etc. Does the district have an obligation to update the three facilities as part of the bond.

Responsible for upgrading the part that we touch, Jordan clarifies that we are responsible for the path to the new building. Would it be more responsible to address what modernization needs we currently have rather than spending the funds for our portion to build a new classroom.

Darlene- States that if we use the architect for both projects, TK/K and the Bond facility projects there can be some overlap so the funds can be utilized for both. If we are having a collaborative plan with a contractor the needs can be addressed as a whole.

Dr. Crawford brings forward the point that there is a PR component to making these changes to our campus. If people see we are putting money investing into our campus it speaks to the community that we care about our school, students, and the community.

Discussion around what the extra space will be used for when rooms are made available through building the new building.

Darlene clarifies this funding is one-time, it will not happen again. If we are going to build new facilities/structures this is probably the one time we will have an opportunity to do so.

Discussion/Action	В.	Shall the board accept the State Facilities Grant funding.	
		Aubrey seeks a motion to accept the state facilities grant funding. Trustee Morton makes a motion to accept the State Facilities Grant Funding, Trustee Whitestone seconds the motion. Vote Carries as follows: (5/0/0/0) Trustee Puetz-Yes Trustee Farrell-Yes Trustee Whitestone-Yes Trustee Morton-Yes Trustee Goodman-Yes	
Discussion/Action	C.	Shall the Board approve AEDIS as its architectural firm for the design and build of a new Preschool/TK/K facility on the Grizzly Hill Campus.	
		Dr. Crawford gives an overview of key points of the interview process. Jordan gives clarification on how we can get the buildings to match our campus. So there is an aesthetic flow. Jordan suggests getting the designs approved first. Marisol notes the DSA experience that the firm has. Questions about the capacity of the firm to address structural needs, requests, etc. Trustee Goodman makes note that the board must be involved in every conversation with the architects and every decision that is to be made with regards to the project. Aubrey seeks a motion to approve AEDIS as the architectural firm of choice. Trustee Morton makes a motion to approve, Trustee Whitestone seconds the motion. The Vote Carries as follows: (4/0/1/0) Puetz-Yes Farrell-Abstains Morton-Yes Goodman-Yes Whitestone-Yes	
7.	FUTUR	E AGENDA ITEMS:	
	I-ready	data, CASP data, Community involvement/communication	
8.	UPCON	MING MEETINGS: November 12 ,2024 4PM	
9.	ADJOU	RNMENT: 5:49	

NOTICE:	
	•

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need special assistance to access the Board meeting room or to otherwise participate at this meeting, including auxiliary aids or services, contact the Twin Ridges Elementary School District office at 530.265-9052 ext. 201 at least 48 hours before the scheduled Board meeting so that we may make every reasonable effort to accommodate your needs. {G.C. §54953.2, §54954.2(a) (1); Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, §202 (42 U.S.C. §12132)]

		10/29/2024	
Dr. Erik Crawford, Superintendent/Princ	cipal	Date	
	•		
		10/29/2024	
Aubrey Puetz, Board President	Date		
_	_		